

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE PIMENTEL,)	Case No.: 1:21-cv-01826-DAD-BAK (HBK)
)	
Plaintiff,)	SCHEDULING ORDER ¹ (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
)	
v.)	Pleading Amendment Deadline: 6/27/2022
)	
J.B. HUNT SERVICES, INC., et al.,)	Discovery Deadlines:
)	Non-Expert: 1/17/2023
Defendants.)	Expert: 3/7/2023
)	Mid-Discovery Status Conference:
)	9/23/2022 at 8:30 a.m.
)	
)	Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
)	Filing: 3/21/2023
)	Hearing: 5/2/2023
)	
)	Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
)	Filing: 5/30/2023
)	Hearing: 7/18/2023
)	
)	Settlement Conference:
)	5/16/2023 at 9:00 a.m.
)	510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA
)	
)	Pre-Trial Conference:
)	9/25/2023 at 1:30 p.m.
)	Courtroom 5
)	
)	Trial: 12/5/2023 at 1:00 p.m.
)	Courtroom 5
)	Jury trial: 5-7 days

¹ The Court finds the information provided by the parties in their Joint Scheduling Report (Doc. 13) sufficient to schedule the matter without a hearing. Thus, the scheduling conference set for March 29, 2022 is VACATED.

1 **I. Magistrate Judge Consent:**

2 **Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing**

3 Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the adopted policy of the Fresno Division of the
4 Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set before a
5 District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older civil case
6 set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset.

7 The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that
8 of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize
9 criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge
10 may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of
11 Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States
12 Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

13 The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United
14 States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the
15 Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance
16 notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern
17 District of California.

18 Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to
19 conduct all further proceedings, including trial. **Within 10 days** of the date of this order, the parties
20 **SHALL** file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating
21 whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

22 **II. Pleading Amendment Deadline**

23 Any requested pleading amendments are ordered to be filed, either through a stipulation or
24 motion to amend, no later than **June 27, 2022**. Any motion to amend the pleadings shall be heard by
25 the Honorable Dale A. Drozd, United States District Court Judge.

26 **III. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

27 The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before
28 **January 17, 2023** and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **March 7, 2023**.

1 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses², in writing, on or before **January 24,**
2 **2023**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **February 7, 2023**. The written designation of
3 retained and non-retained experts shall **be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B),**
4 **and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder**. Failure to designate experts in
5 compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered
6 through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

7 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts
8 and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions
9 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may
10 include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

11 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement
12 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

13 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **September 23, 2022** at 8:30 a.m. before the
14 assigned Magistrate Judge. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one
15 week before the conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the report via e-mail to
16 BAKorders@caed.uscourts.gov. The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have
17 completed and that which needs to be completed as well as any impediments to completing the
18 discovery within the deadlines set forth in this order. Counsel SHALL discuss settlement and certify
19 that they have done so. Counsel may appear via teleconference by dialing (877) 402-9757 and entering
20 Access Code 6966236, provided the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written
21 notice of the intent to appear telephonically no later than five court days before the noticed hearing
22 date.

23 **IV. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

24 All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later
25
26
27

28 ² In the event an expert will offer opinions related to an independent medical or mental health
evaluation, the examination SHALL occur sufficiently in advance of the disclosure deadline so the expert's
report fully details the expert's opinions in this regard.

than **March 21, 2023**³ and heard on or before **May 2, 2023**. Discovery motions are heard before the assigned Magistrate Judge. For these hearings, counsel may appear via teleconference by dialing (877) 402-9757 and entering Access Code 6966236, provided the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date. All other non-dispositive hearings SHALL be set before Judge Drozd.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. Likewise, no written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. To schedule this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. At least three days before the conference, counsel SHALL file informal letter briefs detailing their positions. The briefs may not exceed 7 pages, excluding exhibits. **Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from the Court's calendar.**

All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **May 30, 2023** and heard no later than **July 18, 2023**, in Courtroom 5 at 9:30 a.m. before the Honorable Dale A. Drozd, United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, **counsel shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.**

V. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a

³ Non-dispositive motions related to non-expert discovery SHALL be filed within a reasonable time of discovery of the dispute, but in no event later than 30 days after the expiration of the non-expert discovery deadline.

1 question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole
2 or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the
3 issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the
4 expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

5 The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed
6 statement of undisputed facts **at least five days before** the conference. The finalized joint statement of
7 undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be
8 deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint
9 statement of undisputed facts.

10 In the notice of motion, the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and
11 conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

12 **Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.**

13 **VI. Pre-Trial Conference Date**

14 **September 25, 2023** at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 5 before Judge Drozd.

15 The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2).**
16 The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format,
17 directly to Judge Drozd's chambers, by email at DADorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

18 Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the
19 Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference.
20 The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the
21 Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the
22 Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

23 **VII. Trial Date**

24 **December 5, 2023** at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 5 before the Honorable Dale A. Drozd, United
25 States District Court Judge.

26 A. This is a JURY trial.

27 B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time: 5-7 days.

C. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, Rule 285.

VIII. Settlement Conference

A settlement conference is scheduled for **May 16, 2023** at 9:00 a.m., located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, California.

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, **the attorneys who will try the case shall appear** at the settlement conference **with the parties** and the person or persons having **full authority** to negotiate and settle the case **on any reasonable terms**⁴ discussed at the conference. Consideration of settlement is a serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least 21 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff **SHALL** submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful⁵ settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, **no later than 14 days before** the settlement conference, Defendant **SHALL** respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. **The parties SHALL continue to exchange counteroffers until it is no longer productive.**

If settlement is not achieved, each party **SHALL** attach copies of their settlement offers to their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket.

CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

At least five court days before the settlement conference, the parties shall submit, directly to

⁴ Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like may be represented by a person whose recommendations about settlement are relied upon by the ultimate decision makers.

⁵ "Meaningful" means that the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

the assigned Magistrate Judge's chambers by e-mail to BAKorders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement. The statement **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

- A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- C. A summary of the proceedings to date.
- D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial.
- E. The relief sought.
- F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.

IX. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten Trial

Not applicable at this time.

X. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

XI. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

XII. Effect of this Order

1 The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most
2 suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the
3 parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered
4 to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by
5 subsequent status conference.

6 **The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a**
7 **showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations**
8 **extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by**
9 **affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause**
10 **for granting the relief requested.**

11 Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

12
13
14 Dated: March 25, 2022


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE